LC



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/619,510	07/19/2000	Gert Berg	414-00	1287
7	590 06/18/2002			
Alex R Sluzas	S		EXAMINER SICONOLFI, ROBERT	
	usand Market Street			
Philadelphia, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	a
		DATE MA	DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/619,510	BERG, GERT	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert A Siconolfi	3683	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejo conditio	ection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) 📙	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee have l fee under (2) as set	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if d, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>5/28/02</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.⊠ T	he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) [they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) [they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) [they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3.□ A	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) 13 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	he a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	he affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly aised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
T	he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
C	Claim(s) allowed:
C	Claim(s) objected to: 4.
C	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-12</u> .
C	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. T	he proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.□ N	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.🛛 C	Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>

Continuation of 10. Other: With regards to the claim that claims 3 and 4 were not expressly rejected in the initial office action, the examiner would like to point out that the PTO-326 which is part of the Office Action clearly indicates that both claims 3 and 4 were rejected. Applicant makes numerous arguments with regard to elements of the latch and the state of being integral or separate of said elements. Applicant further cites the examiner's citation of claim 8 which discloses the latch as a continuous folded sheet as an admissio that the examiner acknowledges that there is a problem with the rejection. This is at best puzzling to the examiner. If claim 8 properly depends from claim 1 and a reference properly anticipates claim 8 it must anticipate claim 1. Therefore, if the reference shows integrally formed pieces and has a structure that is idenical to the instant invention, the fact that the reference does not list separate names for the pieces is irrelevant.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600